

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		STATES OF	Washing	ton, D.C. 20231	V
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/002,133	12/31/ <b>97</b>	REDDY		E S	97-0461-LIP
-		IM22/0121 EXAMINER		AMINER	
UNILEVER		Three Oracl		PADEN, C	
PATENT DEPA	RTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
45 RIVER RO EDGEWATER N				1761	
				DATE MAILED:	01/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Applicant(s)
,	Application No.	1 '' = ''
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
•	Todan	761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	. 7	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) does not not not not not not not not not not</li></ul>	ays, a response within the statu default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 - 6	99	·
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1 · 26	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
× Claim(s) 1- 2 €		io/aio iojootoa.
★ Claim(s)       Claim(s)       ★ Claim(s)        ★ Claim(s)        ★ Claim(s)        ★ Claim(s)        ★ Claim(s)        ★ Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are ob	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved ijected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved ijected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies Treceived.  Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  a)-(d). have been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numericeived in this national stage application from the	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  a)-(d). have been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies Treceived.  Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nurreceived in this national stage application from the  *Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  a)-(d). have been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  i)-(d). have been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted state of the prior art at pages 1 and 2 of the specification in view of Wesdorp and Singer for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that Wesdorp does not teach a product with a lipophilic flavor. This has been considered but is not persuasive because Wesdorp requires that the product contains a flavor at column 18, line 39. Applicant urges that there is no suggestion in the art to use the flavoring of Singer in a very low fat food. This has been considered but is not persuasive because the Singer reference teaches that his flavor delivery system allows for enhanced flavor compounds to be added to his flavorant to permit improved flavor in non-fat and low-fat foods.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesdorp in view of Singer for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that Wesdorp does not teach a product with a lipophilic flavor. This has been considered but is not persuasive because Wesdorp requires that the product contains a flavor at column 18, line 39. Applicant urges that there is no suggestion in the art to use the flavoring of Singer in a very low fat food. This has been considered but is not persuasive because the Singer

Application/Control Number: 09/002,133 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

reference teaches that his flavor delivery system allows for enhanced flavor compounds to be added to his flavorant to permit improved flavor in non-fat and low-fat foods.

Claims 1 and 3-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heertje in view of Singer.

Applicant argues that Heertje does not teach a product with a lipophilic flavor. This has been considered but is not persuasive because Heertje requires that the product contains a flavor at example 1. Applicant urges that there is no suggestion in the art to use the flavoring of Singer in a very low fat food. This has been considered but is not persuasive because the Singer reference teaches that his flavor delivery system allows for enhanced flavor compounds to be added to his flavorant to permit improved flavor in non-fat and low-fat foods.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/002,133

Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Paden whose telephone number is (703) 308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 to 4:00.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599 or 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

( 1-20-00

-- 1761